

United States Patent and Trademark Office

mr

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.			FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 8745
09/832,078			Ajit Chowdhury	780202.90075	
7590 02/25/2004			EXAMINER		
Bennett J. Ber		•	LISH, PETER J		
Quarles & Brady LLP 1 South Pinckney Street				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P O Box 2113 Madison, WI 53701-2113				1754	

DATE MAILED: 02/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	09/832,078	CHOWDHURY ET AL.						
•	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Peter J Lish	1754						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 02 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS F	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i							
706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the	136(a) and the appropriate extension fee e fee. The appropriate extension fee under						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:								
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE:								
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s): Clam 20, by limbe of	Carallatia						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).								
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>see continuation sheet</u> .								
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.								
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s explanation of how the new or amended claims wou	s) a) will not be entered or b) uld be rejected is provided belo	will be entered and an						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: 1-13, 15-19, 21-25	Claim(s) rejected: 1-13, 15-19, 21-25							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
I. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
0. Other:	, , , , <u> </u>	HHAL.						
	. HENDRICKSON AY EXANGNER	HAMING STUART L. HENDRICKSON TO LEVENTS PRIMARY EXAMINER						

Application/Control Number: 09/832,078

Art Unit: 1754

Applicant's arguments filed 1/2/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., that the incubation step results in the formation of metal chloropyromorphite) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Therefore, no difference is seen between the curing step of the Pisani reference and the incubation step of the instantly claimed invention. Furthermore, Pisani teaches the curing step in the samples treated without Portland Cement, as well as those that contain Portland Cement in the treatment material.

Additionally, the Finality of the previous office action is proper and maintained because applicant amended the claims, thus necessitating the new reference. The argument that this reference was issued by the same examiner is of no significance. Applicant's arguments imply a burden to the PTO to know in advance how applicant will amend and also incorrectly infer that only newly published art may be used in a final rejection.